PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

| То: | | | | PCT |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| see form PCT/ISA/220 | | | WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1) | |
| | | | Date of mailing (day/month/year) | see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) |
| Applicant's or agent's see form PCT/IS/ | | | FOR FURTHE | |
| International applicati PCT/JP2005/002 | | International filing date (| day/month/year) | Priority date (day/month/year) 13.02.2004 |
| H04B1/28 | Classification (IPC) or b | both national classification | and IPC | · |
| Box No. I Box No. I | I Priority II Non-establishr V Lack of unity o V Reasoned stat applicability; ci VI Certain docum | ment of opinion with reg of invention tement under Rule 43 <i>bi</i> itations and explanation | is.1(a)(i) with regard is supporting such s plication | ntive step and industrial applicability I to novelty, inventive step or industrial statement |
| written opini | for international pre on of the Internation t chooses an Author | nal Preliminary Examini rity other than this one t | ng Authority ("IPEA to be the IPEA and | will usually be considered to be a "). However, this does not apply where the chosen IPEA has notifed the ernational Searching Authority |
| International will not be so | Bureau under Rule considered. | e 66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written | | |
| International will not be so If this opinio submit to the months from whichever e | Bureau under Rule o considered. In is, as provided about 1PEA a written rep of the date of mailing | ove, considered to be a by together, where appropriate form PCT/ISA/220 considered to be a section of Form PCT/ISA/220 | a written opinion of topriate, with amen | the IPEA, the applicant is invited to diments, before the expiration of three tion of 22 months from the priority date, |
| International will not be so lif this opinion submit to the months from whichever e | Bureau under Rule o considered. In is, as provided above IPEA a written reported the date of mailing expires later. In the date of mailing expires later. In the date of mailing expires later. | ove, considered to be a by together, where appropriate form PCT/ISA/220 considered to be a section of Form PCT/ISA/220 | a written opinion of topriate, with amen | the IPEA, the applicant is invited to dments, before the expiration of three tion of 22 months from the priority date, |

10/560622

IAP9 Rec'd PCT/PT0 14 DEC 2005 International application No. PCT/JP2005/002386

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

| Box No. I Basis of the opinion |
|---|
| With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. |
| This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)). |
| With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: |
| a. type of material: |
| a sequence listing |
| ☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing |
| b. format of material: |
| ☐ in written format |
| ☐ in computer readable form |
| c. time of filing/furnishing: |
| contained in the international application as filed. |
| filed together with the international application in computer readable form. |
| furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search. |
| In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished. |
| 4. Additional comments: |

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2005/002386

| | x No. III Non-establishment on the color of | of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial | | | |
|-------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| The | e questions whether the claimed vious), or to be industrially applic | invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non able have not been examined in respect of: | | | |
| | the entire international application, | | | | |
| \boxtimes | claims Nos. 1-24 | | | | |
| bed | cause: | | | | |
| | the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify): | | | | |
| ⊠ | the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 1-24 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify): | | | | |
| | see separate sheet | | | | |
| | the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed. | | | | |
| | no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. | | | | |
| | the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that: | | | | |
| | the written form | ☐ has not been furnished | | | |
| | | ☐ does not comply with the standard | | | |
| | the computer readable form | ☐ has not been furnished | | | |
| | | ☐ does not comply with the standard | | | |
| | the tables related to the nucle not comply with the technical | otide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions. | | | |
| | See separate sheet for further | r details | | | |
| | av Na VIII. Cortain defeata in | the international application | | | |
| The f | | the international application ontents of the international application have been noted: | | | |
| B | ox No. VIII Certain observati | ons on the international application | | | |
| The f | following observations on the cla | arity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the | | | |

Form PCT/ISA/237 (January 2004)

see separate sheet

Citations:

D1: US 2003/153294 A1 (HATA YOSHIYUKI) 14 August 2003 (2003-08-14)
D2: US 2001/046268 A1 (SHARMA ALOK) 29 November 2001 (2001-11-29)

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

1. Notwithstanding the non-establishment of opinion, please note that, prima facie, claims 14-18 do not seem to involve an inventive step when read in the light of documents D1, D2 and of the knowledge of a skilled person.

A full examination of the claims may not, however, be completed for the reasons exposed in Re Item VIII.

Re Item VII

Certain defects in the international application

- 1. The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).
- Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the document D1 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

3. Although claims 1, 9, 14, 19, 23 and 24 have been drafted as separate independent claims, they appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)



is sought and in respect of the terminology used for the features of that subjectmatter. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness. Moreover, lack of clarity of the claims as a whole arises, since the plurality of independent claims makes it difficult, if not impossible, to determine the matter for which protection is sought, and places an undue burden on others seeking to establish the extent of the protection.

Hence, said claims do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT.